

June 25, 2004

Ms. Valecia R. Tizeno Assistant City Attorney City of Port Arthur P. O. Box 1089 Port Arthur, Texas 77641-1089

OR2004-5217

Dear Ms Tizeno:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 204050.

The City of Port Arthur (the "city") received a request for (1) a copy of all statements made in the case of a specified shooting, (2) a copy of all photographs from the scene, (3) a copy of a "grand jury hearing," and (4) a list of civil service employees from March 3, 1994. You state that the city will release some information, including all information responsive to item (4) of the request. You also state that the city does not have access to the information responsive to item (3) of the request. You claim that the remaining requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information. We have also considered correspondence submitted to this office by the requestor. See Gov't Code § 552.304 (providing for submission of public comments).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Public Information Act (the "Act") generally applies to information already in existence and in the governmental body's possession. See Gov't Code § 552.002 (unless governmental body owns or has right of access to information held by another entity for governmental body, Act only applies to information governmental body collects, maintains, or assembles under a law or ordinance or in connection with transaction of official business). Thus, the Act does not require a governmental body to obtain information that is not in its possession. See Open Records Decision No. 518 at 2 (1989).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We assume that the representative sample of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach and, therefore, does not authorize the withholding of any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Initially, we note that a portion of the submitted information is not responsive to the present request. In the first item of her written request, the requestor specifically seeks "[a] copy of all statements made in the case of the shooting of [a named individual]." In the second item of her request, the requestor specifically seeks "[a] copy of all photo of scence [sic] and of external wounds." You have submitted an entire offense report, including supplemental reports, for our review, along with the requested statements and photographs. Accordingly, this ruling only addresses the availability to the requestor of the statements and photographs specifically referenced in the request. We determine that the remaining submitted information, which does not constitute a "statement" or "photograph," is not responsive to the present request and need not be released.

Next, we must address the city's obligations pursuant to section 552.301 of the Government Code. Section 552.301 provides, in relevant part:

- (a) A governmental body that receives a written request for information that it wishes to withhold from public disclosure and that it considers to be within one of the [Act's] exceptions . . . must ask for a decision from the attorney general about whether the information is within that exception if there has not been a previous determination about whether the information falls within one of the exceptions.
- (b) The governmental body must ask for the attorney general's decision and state the exceptions that apply within a reasonable time but not later than the 10th business day after the date of receiving the written request.

(e) A governmental body that requests an attorney general decision under Subsection (a) must within a reasonable time but not later than the 15th business day after the date of receiving the written request:

- (1) submit to the attorney general:
- (A) written comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply that would allow the information to be withheld;
- (B) a copy of the written request for information;
- (C) a signed statement as to the date on which the written request for information was received by the governmental body or evidence sufficient to establish that date; and
- (D) a copy of the specific information requested, or submit representative samples of the information if a voluminous amount of information was requested; and

(2) label that copy of the specific information, or of the re-representative samples, to indicate which exceptions apply to which parts of the copy.

Gov't Code § 552.301. You state, and a stamp on the submitted request indicates, that you received this request on March 29, 2004. Therefore, the city had until April 12, 2004 to request a decision from this office, and until April 19, 2004 to submit the required materials. Your request for a decision from this office is dated April 13, 2004, and appears to be postmarked April 14, 2004. Furthermore, you did not submit the responsive information until May 20, 2004. Therefore, the city failed to meet both its ten-business-day and fifteen-business-day deadlines.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to meet the deadlines required in section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the information at issue is public and must be released. Information that is presumed public must be released unless a governmental body demonstrates a compelling reason to withhold the information to overcome this presumption. See Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins., 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.-Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to section 552.302); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). The city claims that the information at issue is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Section 552.108 is a discretionary exception and does generally not provide a compelling reason to overcome the presumption of openness. See Open Records Decision Nos. 586 (1991) (governmental body may waive law enforcement suggestion), 522 at 4 (1989) (discretionary exceptions in general), 473 at 2 (1987) (discretionary exceptions can be waived); but see Open Records Decision Nos. 630 at 3 (1994), 586 at 3 (1991) (need of another governmental body to withhold information under predecessor to section 552.108 can provide a compelling reason under section 552.302). The city has not demonstrated a compelling reason to withhold the responsive information at issue under section 552.108. Therefore, this information may not be withheld under section 552.108.

However, the responsive documents contain information excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code, an exception which does constitute a compelling reason to overcome the presumption of openness under section 552.302. Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses the common-law right of privacy, which protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. In addition, this office has found that the following types of information are excepted from required public disclosure under common law privacy: an individual's

criminal history when compiled by a governmental body, see Open Records Decision No. 565 (citing U. S. Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press, 489 U.S. 749 (1989)); personal financial information not relating to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body, see Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990); some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses, see Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps); and identities of victims of sexual abuse, see Open Records Decision Nos. 440 (1986), 393 (1983), 339 (1982). We note, however, that the right of privacy is purely personal and lapses at death. See Moore v. Charles B. Pierce Film Enters. Inc., 589 S.W.2d 489 (Tex. Civ. App.—Texarkana 1979, writ ref'd n.r.e.); see also Attorney General Opinions JM-229 (1984); H-917 (1976). We have reviewed the responsive documents and marked the information that must be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy. The remaining responsive information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental

body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Sarah I. Swanson

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

SIS/krl

Ref: ID# 204050

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Etta Kemper Anderson 2005 6<sup>th</sup> Street

Port Arthur, Texas 77640

(w/o enclosures)